

References

- Augurzky, Petra, Fabian Schlotterbeck, and Rolf Ulrich (2020) Most (but not all) quantifiers are interpreted immediately in visual context. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience* 35: 1203-1222.
- Augurzky, Petra, Oliver Bott, Wolfgang Sternefeld, and Rolf Ulrich (2017) Are all the triangles blue? -ERP evidence for the incremental processing of German quantifier restriction. *Language and Cognition* 9: 603-636.
- Barr, Dale J., Roger Levy, Christoph Scheepers, and Harry J. Tily (2013) Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language* 68: 255-278.
- Crnič, Luka (2014) On the (non-)cumulativity of cumulative quantifiers. In: Martin Prinzhorn, Viola Schmitt and Sarah Zobel (eds.) *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung* 14, 117-133.
- Dwivedi, Veena. D. (2013) Interpreting quantifier scope ambiguity: Evidence of heuristic first, algorithmic second processing. *PLoS ONE* 8(11): 1e20.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081461>. [accessed June 2021]
- Dwivedi, Veena D. and Raechelle M. Gibson (2017) An ERP investigation of quantifier scope ambiguous sentences: Evidence for number in events. *Journal of Neurolinguistics* 42: 63-82.
- Dwivedi, Veena D., Natalie A. Phillips, Stephanie Einagel, and Shari R. Baum (2010) The neural underpinnings of semantic ambiguity and anaphora. *Brain Research* 1311: 93-109.

- Frazier, Lyn and Keith Rayner (1982) Making and correcting errors during sentence comprehension: Eye movements in the analysis of structurally ambiguous sentences. *Cognitive Psychology* 14: 178-210.
- Inoue, Masakatsu, Takeo Kurafuji, Michinao F. Matsui, Akira Ohtani, and Takashi Miyata (2007) The universal quantifier 'subete (all)' reduces the garden path effect: A semantic approach to ambiguity resolution in Japanese sentence processing. *Technical report of IEICE TL2007-13*.
- Inoue, Masakatsu, Takeo Kurafuji, Michinao F. Matsui, Akira Ohtani, and Takashi Miyata (2008) Processing of the universal quantifier in Japanese sentence comprehension: Evidence for Incremental-DRT model. *Proceedings of the twenty-fifth annual conference of the Japanese Cognitive Science Society*. Doshisha University, 6 September 2008.
- Kaan, Edith, Andrea C. Dallas, and Christopher M. Barkley (2007) Processing bare quantifiers in discourse. *Brain Research* 1146: 199–209.
- Kurtzman, Howard S. and Maryellen C. MacDonald (1993) Resolution of quantifier scope ambiguity. *Cognition* 48: 243-279.
- Mazuka, Reiko and Kenji Itoh (1995) Can Japanese speakers be led down the garden-path? In: Reiko Mazuka and Noriko Nagai (eds.) *Japanese syntactic processing*, 295-332. Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Partee, Barbara (1991) Topic, focus and quantification. In: Steven K. Moore and Adam Z. Wyner (eds.) *Proceedings of the 1st Semantics and Linguistic Theory*, 159-188.
- Szabolcsi, Anna (2010) *Quantification*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Trueswell, John C., Michael K. Tanenhaus, and Susan M. Garnsey (1994) Semantic influences on parsing: Use of thematic role information in syntactic disambiguation. *Journal of Memory and Language* 33: 285-318.
- Urbach, Thomas P. and Marta Kutas (2010) Quantifiers more or less quantify on-line: ERP evidence for partial incremental interpretation. *Journal of Memory and Language* 63: 158–179.
- Villalta, Elisabeth (2003) The role of context in the resolution of quantifier scope ambiguities. *Journal of Semantics* 20: 115–162.
- Wijnen, Frank and Edith Kaan (2006) Dynamics of semantic processing: The interpretation of bare quantifiers. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 21: 684–720.
- Zajenkowski, Marcin, Jakub Szymanik, and Maria Garraffa (2014) Working memory mechanism in proportional quantifier verification. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 43: 839–853.